

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,328	03/31/2004	Erik D. N. Monsen	F-800	5334	
919 PITNEY BOV	7590 11/14/200 /ES INC.	EXAMINER			
35 WATERVI		WU, RUTAO			
P.O. BOX 300 MSC 26-22	0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHELTON, C	T 06484-8000		3628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/814,328	MONSEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ROB WU	3628	

	ROB WU	3628					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•					
I Superior I Super							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		26(a) and the appropriat	o outonaion foo				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for pruposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the second process.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a) They raise new issues that would require further cor b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thou the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		I be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/JOHN W HAYES/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's arguments regarding steps (e) and (g) of claim 1 are substantially similar to the arguments provided in the last response and have been addressed in the previous Office Action. The Applicant's arguments regarding obtaining from a postage meter a certificate indicating the malier selected a service level for the mail piece to be registered mail that has been read by the Post Office as calimed in claim 21. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Claim 21 depends from claim 1, and is only required to have a certificate indicating that the identification code has been read by the post office. The certificate does not have to indicate the selected level of service for the mail piece to be registered mail.